

Customer No.: 31561
Docket No.: 13529-US-PA
Application No.: 10/711,544

REMARKS

This is a full and timely response to the outstanding final Office Action mailed April 18, 2007.

Applicants have amended claims 1 and canceled claim 3 for better defining the claimed subject matter therein without entering any new matter. Applicants have also amended claim 1 to overcome the claim objections. Claims 23-24 are newly added hereby, support for which can be found throughout the specification and drawings. Entering of the amendment is respectfully solicited.

Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. §103

Claims 1, 3-5, 7-8, 21-22 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Urabe (US 2004/0090175) in view of Yuki (US 2005/0059185).

In response thereto, Applicants have amended claim 1 with the addition of claim 3, and hereby otherwise traverse the rejection, and submit that claim 1 is novel and unobvious over Urabe and Yuki, taken alone or in combination, and thus should be allowed. In addition, the limitation added in claim 1 is shown in Fig. 1A and 2A, and thus no new matter is entered.

Claim 1, as currently amended, comprises the limitation of “a first, second and third cathode lines electrically connected to the first, the second and the third cathode patterns respectively, wherein the first, second and third cathode lines are sandwiched between the pixel structure layer and the organic light-emitting layer”.